Y
Paris Of P

PAPER NO.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Pro-int and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Richard A. Schwartz Art Unit 121 08/23/78 936,280 Bodo Junge et al	MATLED
Sprung, Felfe, Horn,	FEB 1 6 1979
	UNICATION FIGROUPEX 12MER
	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
This application has been examined.	
Responsive to communication filed on	
This action is made final.	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO	EXPIRE THREE MONTH(S)
S FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER.	
FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE A	APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED- 35 U.S.C. 133
PART I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
1. Notice of References Cited, Form PTO—892. 2. Notice	of Informal Patent Drawing, PT()=948.
3. Notice of Informal Patent Application, 4. Form PTO-152	
PART II SUMMARY OF ACTION	
	are pending in the application.
Of the above, Claims	are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims	have been cancelled.
3. Claims	are allowed.
4. Claims 1-10, 17-32, 34-38, 40-43	
5. Claims	₩
6. Claims	are objected to.
, pro-	ct to restriction or election requirement.
7. The formal drawings filed on are acc	eptable,
8. The drawing correction request filed on	has been
	disapproved.
9. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has	
not been received.	
10. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance excep	filed on
Construction of the contraction with the practice under Ex parte Quay	le, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 OG, 213.
11. Other	

Serial No. 936,280 Art Unit 121

Restriction between the following inventions is required, 35 USC 121:

I. Compounds, process of making thereof and pharmaceutical composition and method of use employing said compounds. Claims 1-23, 24-32, 34-38 and 40-43; class 424, subclass 267.

II. Compounds, process of making thereof and animal feedstuff composition employing said compounds.

Claims 1-23, 33, 39 and 44; class 426, subclass 635.

The above inventions are independent because they are unconnected in operation, and one does not require the other for its ultimate use. They are distinct because they will support separate patents. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art, and will require searches which are not coextensive. Accordingly, restriction for examination purposes is deemed proper.

Pursuant to the practice set forth in 976 O.G.

128 regarding Markush-type claims, an election of a

species prior to examination is also required.

Applicants' attorney Mr. Kolodny, in telephone conversations with Examiner Schwartz on January 30, 1979, and February 7, 1979, elected the species of claim 16 and Group I, and preserved the right to traverse.

Accordingly, claims 11-15, 33, 39, 44 and 46 are withdrawn from consideration by the Examiner, as being directed to non-elected inventions; 37 CFR 1.142(b).

Serial No. 936,280 Art Unit 121

Applicants are advised that the reasons for traversal must be made of record in order to preserve the right to petition; 37 CFR 1.144.

Claims 1-10, 17-18, 24-32, 34-38 and 40-43 are rejected as obvious, 35 USC 103, over Ohata et al (Ohata). Ohata discloses the lower homolog of the instantly claimed compounds wherein R₃ is CH₂OH, R₂ is H and R₁ is methyl. One of ordinary skill would expect a secondary amine and its N-methyl counterpart to exhibit like behavior. Accordingly, the instant compounds would be suggested. Ex parte Bluestone, 135 USPQ 199.

Claims 19-23 and 45 are rejected as obvious, 35 USC 103, over Saeki et al (Saeki), House, and March. Saeki discloses the hydrolysis of the analog of compound II $(R_1=H)$ to form nojirimycin (note the conversion of VIII to I). Since the hydrolysis would be unaffected by the nature of the substituent on the exocyclic amino group, the instant process would be suggested to one of ordinary skill. House discloses that the formation of enamines from secondary amines and ketones is conventional. House also discloses that enamines may be reduced. of ordinary skill would recognize these procedures to be useful for alkylation of secondary amines. March discloses that the alkylation of secondary amines with alkyl halides, sulfates or sulfonates is conventional. discloses the hydrogenation of nojirimycin after its formation by the hydrolysis of compound VIII. The presence of different groups R₁ would not affect the course



Serial No. 936,280 Art Unit 121

of the hydrogenation. Accordingly, the instant processes would be obvious to one of ordinary skill.

Claims 1-9, 17-32, 34-38, 40-43 and 45 are rejected as failing to comply with the requirements of 35 USC 112, paragraphs 1 and 2. The terms "optionally substituted", "hydrocarbon radical", "aromatic or heterocyclic radical", "bioprecursor", "substituents", "heteroatoms" and "monocyclic, bicyclic or tricyclic radical" lack enablement for all they encompass. terms should be limited to that which is supported by disclosure. The terms are also vague and indefinite. "Bioprecursor", as defined at page 3, does not call to mind any specific chemical compounds. As such, its meaning is unclear. Claim 1 disagrees in number with respect to the recitations of "A compound" and "trihydroxypiperidines". Composition claims and method claims 24-25, 29, 34-36, 38 and 40-43 should include an intended use and/or an amount of active ingredient. The term "effective amount" is vague and indefinite for failing to state intended function. In re Frederiksen, 102 USPQ 35.

Claims 1-9, 17-32, 34-38, 40-43 and 45 constitute an improper joinder of inventions, as they group together inventions that are patentably distinct and separately classified, and will support separate patents. Ex parte Markush, 1925 C.D. 126, provided for this claim structure where there was an emergency-engendered need, as the substances were "so closely

Serial No. 936,280

Art Unit 121

related that they would not support a series of patents". This is not the case here. Therefore, the instant generic claims constitute an improper joinder of inventions; Ex parte Reid, 105 USPQ 251; In re Winnek, 73 USPQ 225; In re Ruzicka, 66 USPQ 226.

Claim 16 is objected to for dependence on a rejected claim.

RASchwartz:mbs

A/C 703

557-2517

02/09/79

GROUP ART UNIT 121